

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

CONFIRMATION NO. APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. 09/687,864 Jeffrey A. Ledbetter 30906/41457UTL 10/13/2000 1294 **EXAMINER** 4743 7590 03/27/2006 MARSHALL, GERSTEIN & BORUN LLP PARKIN, JEFFREY S 233 S. WACKER DRIVE, SUITE 6300 **ART UNIT** PAPER NUMBER **SEARS TOWER** CHICAGO, IL 60606 1648

DATE MAILED: 03/27/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
09/687,864	LEDBETTER ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Jeffrey S. Parkin, Ph.D.	1648		

	Jeffrey S. Parkin, Ph.D.	1648		
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress	
THE REPLY FILED <u>22 December, 2005,</u> FAILS TO PLACE TH	IIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION	FOR ALLOWANCE.		
 The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Normal (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in comparison of time periods: 	owing replies: (1) an amendment, a otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in	ffidavit, or other evide compliance with 37 (ence, which CFR 41.31; or	
a) The period for reply expires <u>03</u> months from the mailing date	of the final rejection.			
The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.				
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b) MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f	` '	RST REPLY WAS FILE	D WITHIN TWO	
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on	•	• • •		
been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension a CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened stabove, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three month earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	atutory period for reply originally set in the	final Office action; or (2)	as set forth in (b)	
NOTICE OF APPEAL The Netice of Appeal was filed on A brief in som	ntiones with 27 CED 41 27 must be	s filad within two man	the of the date	
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).				
AMENDMENTS				
3. 🔯 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because				
(a) They raise new issues that would require further co	•	IE below);		
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);				
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or				
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally re	iected claims.		
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.				
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324).				
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):				
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling				
the non-allowable claim(s).				
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) will not be entered, or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.				
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:				
Claim(s) allowed:				
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>15-21,23,24 and 26-30</u> .				
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:				
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE				
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, because applicant failed to provide a showing of good are and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	_			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessal	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fa	ails to provide a	
	·	` '	` '	
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached. REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER				
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered be See Continuation Sheet.	ut does NOT place the application	in condition for allowa	ance because:	
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper No(s).				
13. 🔲 Other:		\		
		Jeff j ey S. Parkin, F Prjmary Examiner	n.u.	
		Art Unit: 1648		

Application No.

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303)

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The claims as amended present new issues requiring further consideration and/or searching. Moreover, the claims, if amended as proposed, would not avoid any of the rejections set forth in the last Office action, and thus the amendment would not place the case in condition for allowance or in better form for appeal.

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicants are reminded that they cannot, as a matter of right, amend any finally rejected claims, add new claims after a final rejection (see 37 CFR 1.116) or reinstate previously canceled claims. Further examination of the application may be obtained by filing a request for continued examination (RCE) under 37 CFR 1.114 with a submission (i.e., an amendment that meets the reply requirement of 37 CFR 1.111) and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e). Applicants' arguments have been carefully considered but are not persuasive for the reasons of record set forth in the last office action.